

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Shenton
<b>Given Name</b>	Dee
<b>Person ID</b>	1286211
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Shenton
<b>Given Name</b>	Dee
<b>Person ID</b>	1286211
<b>Title</b>	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The proposals represent inappropriate development in the Green Belt by virtue of their harmful impact on the openness of the Green Belt and the purposes of including land within it. The proposals therefore result in encroachment into the countryside, which conflicts with one of the five main purposes of the Green Belt. Inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except where very special circumstances apply that clearly outweigh this harm.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	It should not be approved - Green Belt is sacrosanct.
<b>Family Name</b>	Shenton
<b>Given Name</b>	Dee
<b>Person ID</b>	1286211
<b>Title</b>	JPA 32: South of Hyde
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The proposals represent inappropriate development in the Green Belt by virtue of their harmful impact on the openness of the Green Belt and the purposes of including land within it. The proposals therefore result in encroachment into the countryside, which conflicts with one of the five main purposes of the Green Belt. Inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except where very special circumstances apply that clearly outweigh this harm.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	It should not be approved - Green Belt is sacrosanct.